

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 04 2005

200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20037-3213
T 202.293.7060
F 202.293.7860

www.sughrue.com

FAX

OK
To
ENTER
09/09/05
DJ

Date	August 4, 2005		
To	USPTO		
Of	PTO Group Art Unit 2877		
Fax	571-273-8300		
From	Seok-Won Stuart Lee		
Subject	Response Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116		
Our Ref	Q77912	Appln No	10/684,659
Conf No	8886	Inventors	Akiharu FUKUDA, et al.
Pages	6 (including cover sheet)		

Please call attention to problems with this transmission by return fax or telephone. Thank you.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS COMMUNICATION IS CONFIDENTIAL, MAY BE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED, AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE. UNAUTHORIZED USE, DISCLOSURE OR COPYING IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED AND MAY BE UNLAWFUL. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US.

This fax filing includes:

1. This cover sheet
2. Response Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Sir:

I hereby certify that the above identified correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to USPTO on August 4, 2005 at 571-273-8300.

Respectfully submitted,

Seok-Won Stuart Lee
Limited Recognition No. L0212

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 04 2005

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
GROUP 2877
PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q77912

Akiharu FUKUDA, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/684,659

Group Art Unit: 2877

Confirmation No.: 8886

Examiner: Jacques M. Saint-Surin

Filed: October 15, 2003

For: ULTRASONIC WAVE UTILIZING DEVICE

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

MAIL STOP AF

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated May 4, 2005, please consider the remarks as submitted herewith on the accompanying pages.

REMARKS

Claims 1-8 are pending in the application. Claims 1-2 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Nishimoto et al. (U.S. Patent 6,484,581; hereinafter "Nishimoto"). Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishimoto. Claims 3 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base